## **REMARKS**

This is intended as a full and complete response to the Office Action dated June 3, 2005, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on September 3, 2005. Applicants respectfully request entry and consideration of the above noted amendments and the following remarks in response to the Office Action.

## **CLAIM REJECTIONS:**

Claims 1-3 and 5-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. EP 0622380 (Morini I.) Morini I does not teach, show or suggest the polypropylene recited in the amended claims, such as a melt flow of from about 300 g/10 min. to about 400 g/10 min. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/57160 (Morini II.) As discussed in the interview, Morini II does not teach, show or suggest the polypropylene recited in the amended claims. In particular, a prima facie case of obviousness (e.g., inherency) has not been proven. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

In conclusion, Applicants submit that the references cited in the Office Action, neither alone nor in combination, teach, show, or suggest the claimed features. Having addressed all issues set out in the Office Action, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request the same.

Respectfully submitted

Tenley R. Krueger Registration No. 51,253

T.R. Krueger, P.C.

15503 F.M. 529 Rd., #521 Houston, Texas, 77095-5400

Telephone: 832-465-0157 Fascimile: 281-463-8012 Attorney for Applicant(s)

COS-832D1 1st ROA